Major Book Publisher







In this specific success story, the goal of a Major Book Publisher was to optimize the conversion after sending an email to their user, i.e. having a user sufficiently intrigued or interested by the recommendation to first click on an item, and then purchase the item. Crossing Minds unique embedding of the users and the items recommended allowing MBP to tackle the cold start problem and recommend more accurately new books or new users.

Goal

Challenges

Our client, referred to in this docu operate with an annual revenue of \$38+ and are a division of Bertelsmann. With one of the largest direct competitors, Amazon, pl a large inventory, MBP needs to keep its users engaged and aware of the latest book releases. To do so, the company sends on a bit embedding of the users and the items recommended allowing MBP to tackle the cold start that the cold start that the cold start the cold start that the col problem and recommend more accurately new books or new users.

Goal

Challenges

client, referred to in this document as Major Book Publisher (MBP), is one the largest paperbook publisher in the world. They cate with an annual revenue of \$38+ and are a division of Bertelsmann. With one of the largest direct competitors, Amazon, pl Discover Your Next Book

Deliverables

Crossing Minds analyzes the MBP dat analysis. The deliverable for this use case are

- Analysis, insights, and suggestions about the date
 Book recommendations for email marketing

Work Process

In this very specific case, the goal of MBP is to **optimize the conversion** after sending an email to their user, i.e. having a user sufficiently intridued or interested by the recommendation to first click on an item, and then purchase the item.

feedback. Our first contribution was to provide MBP with clearer resights on the data they are handling with respect to recommendation dailing, and suggest the best addition to this dataset to improve the recommendations. Being the core of any supervised recommender system, it is crucial to get accurate insights into the user-term interaction graph. We presented our density analysis of the adjacency matrix, and other further details as the connectivity of the graph to find "information bottlenecks", Our next step was to pinpoint which additional data about the items and the users would have the highest impact

Although MBP data only contains sparse implicit feedback for the users, we knew using deep learning would allow correlating use Authority mer dust one contains space implant executions for the steep we there can greep eathing wood under contenting states with all additional data sources. The first improvements we achieved is the organization of multiple MBP datasets as port of the training procedure; users' features, items features, and additional interactions, from both their current system and their older "pre-merging" Penguin database and Random House database. We combined two different learning approaches, supervised (using interactions) and unsupervised (without interactions), to extract the most of these databases.

The second map in processor was a transport of the second map in the second map in the present quality of the recommendations. Thanks to our 82C application Hai, we are collecting the best possible dataset to train recommender systems. This is because Hai users have full control of their data, and therefore explicitly train their own Al to get the best recommendations. As a consequence of our garmfeld experience, the average number of entirips service in Inside dataset is for compress to only 3 for the M8P datasets. Mergit the two datasets enabled denser and finer learning of each user's tastes and preferences.

	AUC (higher is better)	FNR (lower is better)
LightFM	71.1%	26.5%
Crossing Minds	92.4%	24.7%
Comparison of Recommendation Accuracy Scores		

Why Crossing Minds

- more accurately new books or new users.
- All user and item data are fully de-identified and secure